

INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL  
DELHI BENCH "A": NEW DELHI  
BEFORE SMT BEENA A PILLAI, JUDICIAL MEMBER  
AND  
SHRI PRASHANT MAHARISHI, ACCOUNTANT MEMBER

ITA No. 4812/Del/2016  
(Assessment Year: 2013-14)

BBC Global News Limited, (earlier known as BBC World News Limited) Vineet Magan, C/o. BBC Global News India Pvt. Ltd, Unit No. 301, Third Floor, Southern Park, Plot No. D-2, Saket District Centre, New Delhi	Vs.	Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax, Circle-1(1)(2, International Taxation, New Delhi
(Appellant)		(Respondent)

ITA No. 4813/Del/2016  
(Assessment Year: 2013-14)

BBC World Distributors Ltd, Vineet Magan, C/o. BBC Global News India Pvt. Ltd, Unit No. 301, Third Floor, Southern Park, Plot No. D-2, Saket District Centre, New Delhi	Vs.	DCIT, International Taxation Circle-1(1)(2), New Delhi
(Appellant)		(Respondent)

Assessee by :	None
Revenue by:	Shri Raman Chopra, CIT DR
Date of Hearing	13/05/2019
Date of pronouncement	13/05/2019

O R D E R

PER BENCH

1. These are the appeals by the assessee against the order of the Id AO dated 13.07.2016 for the Assessment Year 2013-14.
2. Today, i.e. on 13.05.2019 when these cases were called on board, none appeared on behalf of the assessee nor any request for adjournment has been filed before the Tribunal. It seems that the assessee is not interested in prosecuting the appeal; hence, the appeal filed by the assessee is liable to be

un-admitted and dismissed for non-prosecution. In our above view, we find support from the following decisions:-

- (i). In the case of CIT Vs. B.N. Bhattachargee & Another 118 ITR 461 (relevant pages 477 & 478) wherein their Lordships have held that “The appeal does not mean merely filing of appeal but effectively pursuing it.”
  - (ii). In the case of Estate of Late Tukoji Rao Holker Vs. CWT 223 ITR 480 (MP) while dismissing the reference made at the instance of assessee in default made following observations in their order:  
“if the party at whose instance the reference is made, fails to appear at the hearing, or fails in taking steps for preparation of the paper books so as to enable hearing of the reference, this court is not bound to answer the reference.
  - (iii). In the case of CIT Vs. Multiplan India Pvt. Ltd. 38 ITD 320 (del). The appeal filed by the revenue before the Tribunal which was fixed for hearing but on the date of hearing nobody represented the revenue / applicant, nor any communication for adjournment was received. There was no communication or information as to why revenue choose to remain absent on that date. The Tribunal on the basis of inherent power treated the appeal filed by the revenue as un-admitted in view of Rule 19 of the Appellate Tribunal Rules, 1963.
3. Therefore, keeping in view the above, the appeals filed by the assessee are dismissed for non-prosecution. The assessee, if so advised, shall be free to move this Tribunal praying for recalling of this order and explaining the reasons for non-compliance etc. and if the Bench is so satisfied about the reasons etc, then this order shall be recalled.
4. In the result, the appeals filed by the assessee are dismissed.  
Order pronounced in the open court on 13/05/2019.

-Sd/-

(BEENA A PILLAI)  
JUDICIAL MEMBER

-Sd/-

(PRASHANT MAHARISHI)  
ACCOUNTANT MEMBER

Dated: 13/05/2019  
A K Keot

Copy forwarded to

1. Applicant
2. Respondent
3. CIT
4. CIT (A)
5. DR:ITAT

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR  
ITAT, New Delhi